

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/614,660 | Applicant(s) KIROVSKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Christopher A. Revak | Art Unit 2131 | |

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Kasey Christie.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: response after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

1,9,47,51

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution by correcting claims that recited of non-statutory subject matter. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment so that the application would be allowed..